Signatures of electron-boson coupling in the half-metallic ferromagnet Mn$_5$Ge$_3$: Study of electron self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$ obtained from infrared spectroscopy
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We report results of our infrared and optical spectroscopy study of a half-metallic ferromagnet Mn$_5$Ge$_3$. This compound is currently being investigated as a potential injector of spin-polarized currents into germanium. Infrared measurements have been performed over a broad frequency ($70–50000$ cm$^{-1}$) and temperature ($10–300$ K) range. From the complex optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$, we extract the electron self-energy $\Sigma(\omega)$. The calculation of $\Sigma(\omega)$ is based on numerical algorithms for solution of systems of nonlinear equations. The obtained self-energy provides insight into electron correlations in Mn$_5$Ge$_3$. In particular, it reveals that charge carriers may be coupled to bosonic modes, possibly of magnetic origin.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Half-metallic ferromagnetism (HMFM) was first discovered in half-Heusler alloy NiMnSb. Band-structure calculations showed that all electrons at the Fermi level had the same spin orientation, resulting in a spin-polarized ferromagnetic state. This was in stark contrast with conventional metals, such as gold or copper, in which there is an equal population of spin-up and spin-down electrons, resulting in a nonmagnetic ground state. The inset of Fig. 1 displays schematic one-electron band structure of HMFM. In an ideal case, the number of electrons at the Fermi level with one spin orientation is zero (minority-spin electrons), i.e., all electrons have the opposite spin orientation (majority-spin electrons). In real materials, there may be electrons with both spin polarizations at the Fermi level. Their number is characterized through the so-called spin polarization $P=(N_{\uparrow}-N_{\downarrow})/(N_{\uparrow}+N_{\downarrow})$.

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy is one of the premier experimental probes of systems with strongly correlated electrons. It has been used before for studies of HMFM and has provided important information about their electronic structure. In this work, we have used IR spectroscopy to study Mn$_5$Ge$_3$, an intermetallic compound shown to exhibit HMFM with a Curie temperature of $T_C=293$ K. Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ crystallizes in a hexagonal crystal structure, space group $P6_3/mcm$, with lattice parameters $a=7.191$ Å and $c=5.054$ Å. The anisotropic crystal structure results in slightly anisotropic transport and thermodynamic properties. Recent theoretical calculations and spin-sensitive point-contact Andreev reflection measurements have shown that Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ has a substantial spin polarization in the range 43–54%. In addition to its unusual properties from the fundamental point of view, Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ has also attracted attention as a potential injector of spin-polarized currents into germanium (Ge).

II. EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Reflection measurements on single-crystal Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ have been performed over a broad frequency range from about 70 cm$^{-1}$ to 50 000 cm$^{-1}$ (8 meV to 6.2 eV) and at temperatures from 10 K up to room temperature. An overfilling technique was used to obtain the absolute values of reflectance from a sample with surface area of approximately $1 \times 1$ mm$^2$. From the measured reflectance $R(\omega)$, complex optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)=\sigma_{\uparrow}(\omega)+i\sigma_{\downarrow}(\omega)$ was obtained through Kramers-Kroning (KK) transformation.

Figure 1 displays raw reflectance data $R(\omega)$ and the real part of optical conductivity $\sigma_{\uparrow}(\omega)$. The overall behavior of both optical functions is metallic; however, there are some features that distinguish it from conventional metals. One can identify three contributions to $\sigma_{\uparrow}(\omega)$: (i) a Drude-like peak at zero frequency, which narrows as temperature decreases, (ii) a region of relatively constant conductivity (between about 1000 and 10 000 cm$^{-1}$), and (iii) a region of interband transitions above 10 000 cm$^{-1}$. We also note that similar three contributions to $\sigma_{\uparrow}(\omega)$ were observed in some other HMFM, such as Cr$_2$O$_2$ (Ref. 8) and in NiMnSb.
The first term represents a Drude free-electron component, where \( \omega_p \) is the plasma frequency and \( \gamma \) is the carrier scattering rate. The minimal model to achieve a good fit consisted of a Drude and two Lorentzian modes centered at around 1480 cm\(^{-1}\) and 18 000 cm\(^{-1}\). The total fit, as well as the three individual contributions, are shown in Fig. 1(b) with gray lines. The oscillator at 18 000 cm\(^{-1}\) simulates the effect of interband transitions. On the other hand, the oscillator at 1480 cm\(^{-1}\) seems to indicate the presence of low-lying transitions and we tentatively assign it to excitations across the spin-flip gap (\( \Delta_{sf} \) in Fig. 1). We will come back to this important point below.

In the one-component approach, one assumes that only a single type of carriers is present in the system, but their scattering rate acquires frequency dependence.\(^{16-18}\) Within the so-called “extended” Drude model, one calculates the optical scattering rate \( 1/\tau(\omega)^{op} \) and effective mass \( m^*(\omega)^{op}/m_b \) from the optical conductivity \( \sigma(\omega) \) as

\[
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\omega_p^2 \tau}{1 - i\omega\tau} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_j \frac{i\omega\omega_{p_j}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{p_j}^2 + i\gamma_j\omega}. \tag{1}
\]

The optical conductivity \( \sigma(\omega) \) is estimated from the integration of \( \Sigma(\omega) \) up to the frequency of the onset of interband absorption (the cut-off frequency). By integrating the optical conductivity up to 10 000 cm\(^{-1}\), we get \( \omega_p = 33 000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (4.1 eV).\(^9\) However, the value of plasma frequency obtained this way is ambiguous, as it depends on the choice of the cut-off frequency, i.e., the separation of intraband and interband contributions. The results of extended Drude analysis are shown in Fig. 2. The optical scattering rate \( 1/\tau(\omega)^{op} \) and characteristic suppression is below about 1500 cm\(^{-1}\). This is very close to the frequency of the low-lying transitions obtained from DL fits. We also point out that similar suppression of scattering rate was observed in the spectra of HMFM CrO\(_2\) (REF. 8) and NiMnSb.\(^9\)

III. SELF-ENERGY CALCULATIONS

To elucidate this behavior, we employ an alternative approach of quantifying correlation effects: we calculate the electron self-energy \( \Sigma(\omega) \) directly from \( \sigma(\omega) \). Similar procedure was used before in studies of superconductors.\(^{20,21}\) Electron self-energy is a physical quantity of significant interest, as it contains important information about electron interactions in a solid. In general, it is a complex function of frequency \( \Sigma(\omega) = \Sigma_1(\omega) + i\Sigma_2(\omega) \), where its real part \( \Sigma_1(\omega) \) is related to quasiparticle energy renormalization and the imaginary part \( \Sigma_2(\omega) \) corresponds to quasiparticle lifetime.
Hwang et al. introduced optical self-energy $\Sigma^{\text{opt}}(\omega)$, which has been used frequently in the analysis of IR data.\textsuperscript{25–27} $\Sigma^{\text{opt}}(\omega)$ is defined as
\begin{equation}
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{i\omega}{4\pi\omega} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\omega - \Sigma(\omega + \omega') - \Sigma(\omega')} \label{eq:5}
\end{equation}
and it can be calculated from $\sigma(\omega)$ using an analytic transformation. It is easy to show that
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{\tau(\omega)^{\text{opt}}} = -2\sum_{j=1}^N \Sigma_{j+1}^{\text{opt}}(\omega),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
m^4(\omega)^{\text{opt}} = \frac{1-2\sum_{j=1}^N \Sigma_j(\omega)}{\omega}. \label{eq:6}
\end{equation}

Here we calculate the electron self-energy directly from its relation to $\sigma(\omega)$ at $T=0$ K (Refs. 28–30),
\begin{equation}
\sigma(\omega) = \frac{i\omega}{4\pi\omega} \sum_{k=1}^N \Delta\omega_k \left( \omega_k - \Sigma(\omega_k) + \Sigma'\right),
\end{equation}
where $\sigma_k = \sigma(\omega_k)$, $\Sigma_k = \Sigma(\omega_k)$, $k=1,\ldots.N$, and $N$ is the number of (equidistant) points at which we perform the inversion. Equation (8) reduces to a system of $2N$ nonlinear equations with $2N$ unknowns ($\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$), but since $\Sigma(\omega)$ is a response function, its real and imaginary parts are related through a KK transformation. Thus, the problem reduces to a system of $N$ equations with $N$ unknowns (for example, $\Sigma_2$). However, it is an inverse problem and is also ill posed.

Ill-posed inverse problems can be solved using, for example, Gauss-Newton, Levenberg-Marquardt, or the conjugate gradient algorithms.\textsuperscript{31} They produced similar results in our case. However, to suppress numerical instabilities, the problem must first be regularized.\textsuperscript{11} We have employed first-order Tikhonov regularization, which seems to give the best results, but other types can also be used. The solution of the system starts from an initial guess and proceeds iteratively, until it has converged to within the required accuracy. An interesting choice for the starting point of iteration is $\Sigma^{\text{opt}}(\omega)$ from Eq. (4). One also must ensure that the system converges to the same solution from several different starting points.

Figure 3 displays the results of inversion calculations for Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ at 10 K, as well as the optical self-energy $\Sigma^{\text{opt}}(\omega)$ from Eq. (4). As can be seen, there are some differences between $\Sigma(\omega)$ and $\Sigma^{\text{opt}}(\omega)$. By analogy with the optical scattering rate $1/\tau(\omega)^{\text{opt}}$ [Eq. (2)] and effective mass $m^4(\omega)^{\text{opt}}/m_b$ [Eq. (3)], we introduce quasiparticle scattering rate as $1/\tau(\omega)^{\text{qp}} = -2\sum_{j=1}^N \Sigma_j(\omega)$ and quasiparticle effective mass as $m^4(\omega)^{\text{qp}}/m_b = -2\sum_{j=1}^N \Sigma_j(\omega)$. These two new quantities are shown in Fig. 2 and they also differ from their optical counterparts. Most notably, the lower-energy suppression of scattering rate follows a power-law behavior $1/\tau(\omega)^{\text{qp}} \approx \omega^2$, whereas $1/\tau(\omega)^{\text{opt}} \approx \omega$ (gray lines in Fig. 2). These important differences warrant further theoretical considerations.

IV. DISCUSSION

As mentioned above, the low-frequency suppression of scattering rate observed in Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ was also found in CrO$_2$ and NiMnSb and appears to be generic to all HMFM. The suppression $1/\tau(\omega)$ can be explained as a consequence of HMFM band structure (inset of Fig. 1). Namely, in the one-electron picture, the low-energy minority-spin excitations (spin-flip processes) are forbidden because of the lack of available states.\textsuperscript{2} This scenario seems to work well in CrO$_2$, where the scattering rate drops to very low values below about 500 cm$^{-1}$, which was assigned to spin-flip gap.\textsuperscript{8} In Mn$_5$Ge$_3$, the scattering rate does not drop to zero, but is, instead, suppressed following a power-law dependence. This behavior can, in principle, be explained as due to peculiarities of the density of states.\textsuperscript{32} We propose an alternative explanation in terms of the low-energy scattering channels. They require one to go beyond the one-electron picture.\textsuperscript{2} Namely, when electron correlations are taken into account, the low-energy spin-flip excitations become possible because of a coupling of majority-spin electrons and virtual magnons.\textsuperscript{2} This coupling is believed to be of prime importance for transport processes in HMFM (and ferromagnets in general).

Coupling of charge and bosonic degrees of freedom has been known to be important in solids. In optical functions, this coupling shows up as a suppression of scattering rate.\textsuperscript{16,17,33} A quantity that contains all of the information about such coupling is the electron-boson spectral function.
Figure 4. (Color online) The electron-boson spectral function $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ of Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ calculated from $\Sigma_{\omega}$. $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$, which can be obtained from $\Sigma_{\omega}$ as $-\pi \alpha^2 F(\omega) = d \Sigma_{\omega}(\omega)/d\omega$, is shown in Fig. 4 and, as could be anticipated from $1/\tau(\omega)^{\text{op}}$, it is characterized by a pronounced peak centered at about 500 cm$^{-1}$ (60 meV). This peak indicates that charge carriers may be coupled to bosonic modes, such as magnons. Note, however, that in Mn$_5$Ge$_3$, the spin polarization is only partial, which implies that other scattering channels (such as phonons) are also possible. Further experimental tests, such as magneto-optical measurements, will help elucidate if this scenario applies to Mn$_5$Ge$_3$, as well as the contributions of various scattering channels.

**V. SUMMARY**

High Curie temperature, substantial degree of spin polarization, and a good lattice match with germanium and silicon make Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ a very promising candidate for an injector of spin-polarized currents. Recently discovered simultaneous enhancement of both spin polarization and Curie temperature in Fe-doped Mn$_5$Ge$_3$ above room temperature opens exciting possibilities for controlled spin injection in functional spintronics devices. In this work, we used IR spectroscopy to study electronic properties of Mn$_5$Ge$_3$. Our results reveal the spin-flip gap of approximately 1500 cm$^{-1}$ (186 meV). The inversion procedure described allows access to electron self-energy from IR and more direct (and quantitative) comparisons with photoemission spectroscopy. Both optical and quasi-particle scattering rates reveal a power-law behavior (albeit with different exponents), which might signal coupling of charge carriers to bosonic modes, possibly of magnetic origin.
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19. This value cannot be directly compared with those obtained from numerical calculations (Ref. 12) because IR cannot resolve the two spin directions.
23. J. Hwang et al. (Ref. 24) also introduced a quantity $\Sigma^{\text{qp}} = d[2\Sigma^{\text{qp}}(\omega)]/d\omega$.
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